## May 12, 1975

like to clear up. The two facilities, the two medium minimum security facilities is not a new concept. It was in the original correctional study that was brought to this Legislature. What you are doing with this amendment is returning to the original concept, not bringing in something new. The Luedtke amendment provides for more than enough beds to take care of the current facility. It provides for 200 beds in Lincoln and 175 beds in Omaha. That's 375 beds total, 75 more than the proposal made by the Governor for a 300 bed institution. So this is a more than adequate proposal to provide more than enough replacement beds. I think Senator Luedtke's amendment is the direction to take. I think that there are more than enough people who have agreed that we need some smaller facilities located near communities to take care of correctional needs. There are more than enough to override a veto if that is the problem. This is the direction we should take.

PRESIDENT: Senator Shirley Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would like to sincerely thank the members of the Judiciary Committee who have spent so many hours trying to work out a compromise on this proposal. We need to start this year on the construction project for replacement for our Reformatory. I commend, especially, Senator Luedtke for the new amendment which I certainly shall support and I hope that the large majority of this legislative body will do this as a joint project for this would be a good thing to have our name known for in 1975.

PRESIDENT: Senator Bereuter.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Mr. President, colleagues, those of you, I think that is most of you, who have been through the current facility realize the deplorable conditions there. This makes this particular proposal the number one capital construction need in this state, I think by most people's agreement. What you have presented to you by the Judiciary Committee is excellent because it provides us some assurance that we are not going to build a facility that is functionally obsolete by the time it is constructed. What you have here, as Senator Fowler suggested to you, and as Senator Luedtke did likewise, is consistent with the Curtis-Davis plan. I would hope that we would have a strong expression of leadership by this Legislature. was hoping for unanimous and strong action. At least, let's have a very strong vote, move this bill forward and get on with the task that has been delayed far too long and get on in a manner that is consistent with the best advice available to us. I urge, strongly, your support of this amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would, wholeheartedly, support the Luedtke amendment and comment Senator Luedtke and correct Senator Swigart to a certain extent. What the Luedtke amendment does, is, in fact, implement the plans that this Legislature has been committed to for a long period of time and that the Judiciary Committee initiated over three years ago and sets this Legislature on the course that it has for a long time been